## **COMMITTEE REPORT**

Date: 11 June 2015 Ward: Rural West York

Parish: Team: Major and Dringhouses/Woodthorpe

> Planning Panel Commercial Team

14/02964/FUI Reference:

Eastfield Farm Moor Lane Acomb York YO23 3QX **Application at:** For:

Change of use of outbuildings to form additional

accommodation for The Dovecote, alterations to Byre House and the conversion, alteration and extension of outbuildings to form 5 no.dwellings with associated gardens and parking

Messrs Dodason By: **Full Application Application Type:** 15 April 2015 **Target Date:** 

Recommendation: **Approve** 

#### 1.0 PROPOSAL

- 1.1 Planning permission is sought for the conversion and extension of the southern range of stables to 2 no. 2 bed dwellings (referred to on the plans as Units 8 and 9). A further 3 no. dwellings would be created by converting the northern range of agricultural buildings (unit 2, 3 and 6).
- 1.2 Within the main block of the farm buildings there are two existing dwellings (units 1 and 7) for which planning permission was granted for 2 no. holiday lets in 1989 (8/86/128/PA) and these works were undertaken. The agent has confirmed that they have not been used as holiday lets. The application seeks to reorganise this accommodation within these units.
- 1.3 In addition a detached double garage is proposed for Unit 7 within the stack yard, car ports for Units 1 and 2 are proposed to the north of the complex. Unit 9 would be extended to create an integral garage. Minor extensions facing into the stack yard are proposed following the demolition of 2 no. metal clad buildings. Both internal yards would be divided by walls to create private garden areas. The garden curtilages for Units 1 and 7 would extend into the neighbouring field. The applicant has proposed the demolition of the metal clad shed and the dilapidated pole mounted barn to the north of the main farm complex together with the restoration of the land to a field to offset the garden curtilages and the extensions to create Units 8 and 9. Vehicle access to the site would remain as existing - onto the Moor Lane layby.
- 1.4 The site lies within general extent of the green belt. The site is within Flood Zone 1. The Askham Bog Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) is within 1km of the site.

Application Reference Number: 14/02964/FUL Item No: 4e

Page 1 of 16

This application together with the partner applications would see a net increase of 5 dwellings and a total of 11 dwellings on site including the original farm house.

- 1.5 The application was submitted with three other applications for the redevelopment of other buildings within the farm complex.
  - 14/02966/FUL Change of use of outbuildings to form additional residential accommodation for The Cottage with associated alterations
  - 14/02967/FUL Change of use of outbuildings to form additional residential accommodation for The Coach House with associated external alterations
  - 14/02968/FUL Change of use of outbuildings to form additional accommodation for West Cottage with link extension and associated external alterations
- 1.6 The proposed development, and that proposed in 14/02967/FUL, and 14/02968/FUL would result in the metal agricultural sheds within the stack yards being removed, together with the metal shed to the north which houses a vehicle repair business and stables, and a dilapidated pole mounted barn. The development proposed in the 4 applications would result in the loss of the existing livery business. The agent has confirmed that no decision has been made on the future ownership/division of the surrounding fields used in connection with the livery use.
- 1.7 Revised plans have been submitted showing the proposed vehicle access to Moor Lane removed.
- 1.8 Cllr Reid has requested that this application together with the three other applications come before committee for the following reasons:
  - Would like consideration given to whether the applications would have detrimental impact on the greenbelt from the domestification and intensification of use.
  - Increase in traffic movements.
  - Reservations about the proposed northern vehicle access to Moor Lane.
  - Concerned about the creation of a tarmac road across fields to Moor Lane where traffic is travelling at speed.

#### 2.0 POLICY CONTEXT

2.1 Development Plan Allocation:Airfield safeguarding GMS Constraints

2.2 Policies:CYGP1 DesignCYGP4A SustainabilityCYGB3 Reuse of Buildings

Page 2 of 16

CYGB6 Housing devt outside settlement limits

CYGP9 Landscaping

CYGP14 Agricultural land

CGP15A Development and Flood Risk

**CYNE3** Water protection

CYNE6 Species protected by law

CYNE7 Habitat protection and creation

CYGB1 Development within the Green Belt

CYGB3 Reuse of buildings

CYT4 Cycle parking standards

CYH3CMix of Dwellings on Housing Site

CYH4A Housing Windfalls

CYE3B Existing and Proposed Employment Sites

## 3.0 CONSULTATIONS

## INTERNAL CONSULTATIONS

# **Highway Network Management**

- 3.1 Request that the driveway accommodate two way traffic at the entrance from old Moor Lane. Request 5 metre wide road for first 12 metres. May reduce down to a single width track with passing place as required
- 3.2 Access from Old Moor Lane will require 43 x 2 metre forward visibility. The first 5 metres of driveway should be a sealed surface and positively drained. The remaining driveway should be constructed to a standard that is suitable for the development proposed
- 3.3 Car and cycle parking should be included within the development for CYC Annex E standards can be conditioned
- 3.4 A refuse bin collection point should be provided near the entrance of the driveway. This should be a maximum of 20 metres from the highway but preferable closer

# **Ecology Officer**

3.5 The site falls within Natural England's SSSI risk impact zone for Askham Bog (c.680m). This means that developments requiring their own water supply, or where there is any discharge of water or liquid waste to ground or surface water that is more than 2m³/day (discharges to mains sewer are excluded) triggers the need to consult Natural England on likely impacts.

Page 3 of 16

- 3.6 Recent great crested newt surveys in the area have identified their presence, in very low numbers, in the pond c.75m east of the site. The majority of proposed development only impacts upon existing buildings and existing hard standing, only the construction of a garage unit in application 14/02964/FUL will impact on ground vegetation. A precautionary method statement has been produced to ensure there is no risk of harm to great crested newts and no inadvertent loss of habitat. No evidence of barn owl was found in any of the buildings. large number of swallow nests that will be lost as part of the development, condition sought for mitigation. Recommend conditions for newt mitigation and the demolition of buildings.
- 3.7 Bats were confirmed as roosting in two of the buildings and a European Protected Species Licence is likely to be required to undertake work on these and it is recommended that this is addressed through a specific condition.
- 3.8 The large two storey threshing barn was found to support a transient Natterer's bat roost and foraging activity by brown long eared bats. The mitigation proposed for this building is the inclusion of a small bat loft within the first floor end of the building with a bat slate installed close to the hip apex of the west facing roof aspect. Request secured via condition.

# Archaeology

3.9 No comments received, comments will be reported at the committee meeting

# Flood Risk Management Team

- 3.10 It is important that there is a drainage strategy that provides a drainage solution for the whole site, for all applications and not piecemeal.
- 3.11 The information within 'Addendum Rev A Drainage Strategy Report' suggested that there was a network of surface water drains that eventually discharged to the drainage ditch to the east of the site; however following the site visit there is evidence to suggest that the surface water drains and foul water drains are part of a combined system. This appears to subsequently discharge to the septic tanks on site; the applicant has stated that there are four on site with two that appear to overflow and discharge to the drainage ditch. Inspection of the two outfalls into the ditch provided further evidence to suggest that there is a combined system in place on site with clear signs of foul water discharge. Main concern is that foul water is discharging into a drainage ditch; this is a matter that the applicant should be looking to resolve immediately.
- 3.12 Agreement has been reached with the IDB with regard to the proven connected impermeable areas which equate to 930 m2. From this information the permitted surface water discharge rate from the site shall be a maximum of 9.1 l/sec.

Page 4 of 16

- 3.13 The developer should devise a robust drainage strategy and design including how surface water and foul water will be separated and how surface water will subsequently be attenuated on site before discharge.
- 3.14 Request conditions to seek the outstanding information

# **Environmental Protection Unit**

3.15 Due to the presence of agricultural buildings, fuel storage, and the range of historic activities which could have been carried out, some concerns that there is potential for land contamination to be present at this site. A site investigation and risk assessment is required in order to determine whether land contamination is present. Seek the information via condition

### EXTERNAL CONSULTATIONS/REPRESENTATIONS

# Askham Bryan Parish Council

3.16 The proposal, together with the other three applications at Eastfield Farm, constitutes a change of use from farming/equine to residential. If approved this would result in a loss of amenity for the local community and an increase in residential development considered detrimental to this part of the Rural West Ward of York.

# **Dringhouses and Woodthorpe Planning Panel**

3.17 The change of use will result in a loss of amenity for the local community and encourage residential development considered detrimental to this part of the Rural West Ward

# Ainsty Internal Drainage Board

- 3.18 The information within 'Addendum Rev A Drainage Strategy Report' suggested that there was a network of surface water drains that eventually discharged to the drainage ditch to the east of the site; however following the site visit there is evidence to suggest that the surface water drains and foul water drains are part of a combined system. This appears to subsequently discharge to the septic tanks on site; the applicant has stated that there are four on site with two that appear to discharge directly to the drainage ditch. Inspection of the two outfalls into the ditch provided further evidence to suggest that there is a combined system in place on site with clear signs of foul water discharging.
- 3.19 Agreement has been reached with the Flood Risk Engineer with regard to the connected impermeable areas; this information will be used to calculate a surface water discharge rate from the site. The Board would note that consent to discharge will be required from the applicant and discussions with the Board should be maintained in order to resolve this.

Page 5 of 16

3.20 The Internal Drainage Board requests that conditions to seek further details be submitted, such as a robust drainage strategy including how surface water and foul water will be separated, and how surface water will subsequently be attenuated on site before discharge. At present the main concern of the Board is that foul water is discharging into a drainage ditch; this is a matter that the applicant should be looking to resolve immediately.

# Yorkshire Water

3.21 No comments received

# Neighbour Notification/Publicity

- 3.22 Three letters of objection have been received covering the following points:
  - Concerned regarding the extent and nature of the four applications within the greenbelt
  - · Significant change from agricultural character to residential
  - Concerned regarding the proposed access to Moor Lane, the removal of the hedges to allow visibility would have significant visual amenity implications. In addition concerned regarding the safety implications of the proposed access.
     The eastern access to the complex should be retained (Officer note: the proposed northern access has been removed from the applications)

## 4.0 APPRAISAL

## RELEVANT SITE HISTORY

- 8/86/128B/PA (1992) Conversion of redundant agricultural building to form residential holiday accommodation - Approved
- 8/86/128A/PA(1989) Erection of an extension to and conversion of vacant cow house to use as 2 holiday units - Approved
- 8/86/128/PA (1985) Change of use of existing buildings and outline application for the erection of an extension to existing buildings to form residential holiday accommodation at Eastfield Farm - Approved

## **KEY ISSUES**

- 1. Green Belt
- 2. Loss of existing business
- 3. Visual Amenity
- 4. Highways
- 5. Surface Water Drainage

Page 6 of 16

#### PLANNING POLICY

- 4.1 Whilst the Regional Strategy for Yorkshire and Humber (the RSS) has otherwise been revoked, its York Green Belt policies have been saved together with the key diagram which illustrates those policies and the general extent of the Green Belt around York. RSS Policy YH9C "Green Belts" states that the detailed inner boundaries of the Green Belt around York should be defined in order to establish long term development limits that safeguard the special character and setting of the historic city. RSS Policy Y1 states that the City of York LDF, should define the detailed boundaries of the outstanding sections of the outer boundary of the York Green Belt about 6 miles from York city centre and the inner boundary in line with policy YH9C.
- 4.2 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in March 2012. It sets out government's planning policies and is material to the determination of planning applications. The NPPF is the most up-to date representation of key relevant policy issues (other than the Saved RSS Policies relating to the general extent of the York Green Belt) and it is against this policy Framework that the proposal should principally be addressed.
- 4.3 The York Development Control Local Plan was approved for development control purposes in April 2005. Its policies are material considerations in the determination of planning applications although it is considered that their weight is limited except when they are in accordance with the NPPF.
- 4.4 The site is within the City of York Green Belt as defined on the City of York Development Control Local Plan Proposals Map (2005) and the general extent of the Green Belt established through the RSS. In the emerging local plan the site is allocated as safeguarded land (SF12). However following the motion agreed at Full Council in October 2014, the publication draft of the York Local Plan is currently not progressing through its statutory consultation; pending further consideration of the Council's housing requirements and how it should meet those requirements. It is considered that no weight should be given to policy SF12.
- 4.5 The protection of the Green Belt is one of the core planning principles of the NPPF (Para 17). The NPPF states that the local planning authority should ensure that substantial weight is given to any harm to the Green Belt. The NPPF sets out the 5 purposes of the Green Belt (Para 80) these are: to check unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas; to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another; to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment; to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land. The NPPF states the construction of new buildings is inappropriate development in the Green Belt and therefore harmful to the Green Belt.

Page 7 of 16

However certain exceptions include the extension or alteration of a building provided that it does not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building (para 89); and the re-use of buildings providing that the buildings are of permanent and substantial construction (Para 90). The NPPF states that inappropriate development is by definition harmful to the greenbelt and should not be approved except in very special circumstances (87). Substantial weight should be given to any harm to the Greenbelt. 'Very special Circumstances' will not exist unless the potential harm to the greenbelt by reasons of inappropriateness, and any other harm, is clearly outweighed by other considerations (88)

4.6 The NPPF attaches importance to the design of the built environment. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, and is indivisible from good planning, and should contribute positively to making places better for people. The planning system should ensure that development functions well and adds to the overall quality of the area over the lifetime of the development. In addition decisions should establish a strong sense of place, using streetscapes and buildings to create attractive and comfortable places to live, work, and visit, and respond to local character and history and reflect the identity of local surroundings and materials, while not preventing or discouraging appropriate innovation. It should be visually attractive as a result of good architecture. Planning decisions should not attempt to impose architectural styles and particular tastes and they should not stifle innovation, it is however proper to seek to promote or reinforce local distinctiveness. Permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area. Local Plan Policy GP1 refers to design, for all types of development. It states that development proposals will be expected to, respect or enhance the local environment.

## PRINCIPLE OF DEVELOPMENT

- 4.7 The proposed re-use of the buildings (which appear to be of a permanent and substantial construction) is not inappropriate development in the greenbelt.
  - Units 8 and 9
- 4.6 The works to the southern range of stables to the west of the farmhouse to create a 2 no. dwellings (Units 8 and 9) will result in a significantly larger footprint (increase of 128%) and as such would be a disproportionate addition (para 89 of NPPF) in the greenbelt and therefore conflicts with NPPF greenbelt policy. As such very special circumstances are required to outweigh the potential harm to the greenbelt by reason of inappropriateness. To amount to very special circumstances, the following considerations need to clearly outweigh this identified harm to the green belt. The height of the proposed buildings would be similar to the existing buildings and the appearance reflects the surrounding buildings. The increase in footprint would be offset by the removal of the two large sheds to the north of the farm and the metal sheds within the stack yards.

Page 8 of 16

In addition it is noted that application ref: 8/86/128/PA granted planning permission for the buildings to be converted to holiday lets, including a similar scale extension of these stables. The site of the proposed gardens for Units 8 and 9 has been previously used as an orchard and has an established boundary of mature trees and hedging.

- 4.7 The fundamental purpose of Green Belt policy is to preserve openness. It is not considered that development would conflict with the other Green Belt purposes set out in paragraph 4.5. The proposed conversion is unlikely to set a precedent for other development within the Green Belt. It is considered that with the demolition of some of the existing agricultural sheds there would not be an overall increase in the built fabric of the complex and together with the other considerations put forward by the applicant, in addition to the mitigation of other harm through planning conditions, they clearly outweigh the potential harm to the greenbelt by reason of inappropriateness and any other harm and thereby are considered to be very special circumstances to allow the inappropriate development in the York Green Belt.
  - Units 1,2, 3, 6 and 7
- 4.8 The existing openings (particularly in the outward facing elevations) of the agricultural buildings has been utilised for windows and doors and there are very few proposed additional openings. The roof of the two storey barn element (Unit 2, and part of Units 1 and 7) would be altered from corrugated sheeting to slate. Planning permission has previously been granted for the conversion of the 2 storey barn to holiday accommodation (8/86/128B/PA). The gardens of Units 2, 3, and 6 would be sited within the existing farm yards and utilise areas that are currently hardstanding. The use of the adjacent fields to provide garden curtilages for Units 1 and 7 would result in harm to the greenbelt however this is offset by the removal of the large agricultural buildings to the north of the main complex and therefore the impact to the openness of the greenbelt is considered to be neutral. Minor extensions are proposed to inward facing elevations and these are considered to be proportionate and in keeping with the original buildings and therefore comply with paragraph 89 of the NPPF. In addition as with Units 8 and 9 and the other partner applications, the boundary treatment of these gardens is considered to be important to the visual success of the development and its impact on the greenbelt. Whilst hedging would clearly define the gardens and would increase the domestic appearance of the development it would also screen much of the domestic paraphernalia, and would be more in keeping than close boarded fencing and walls which would appear overtly urban and thus impacting on the openness of the greenbelt. The plans show garden division as hedging however it is considered prudent ensure that it is hedging and remove permitted development rights for other types of enclosure.

Page 9 of 16

4.9 With regard to criteria (a) to (f) of Policy GB3, it is considered that the residential use of the buildings (of this application as well in the context of the three partner applications) would have a greater impact than the present use on the openness of the Green Belt by virtue of the more clearly defined domestic curtilages. The comings and goings from the proposed development are considered to be similar to the existing uses. However, this is balanced against the loss of the metal clad agricultural buildings to the north of the complex. As such it is concluded that the reduction in physical development would have a neutral impact on the openness of the Green Belt. It is considered prudent to condition that the metal clad buildings are removed prior to development and the land restored to a field. The site is within 800 metres of the settlement envelope of York. There are two further criteria (g) and (h) within Policy GB3, however this part of the policy is considered to be out of date following the introduction of the NPPF.

## LOSS OF EXISTING BUSINESSES

4.10 The aim of Local Plan Policy E3b is to retain employment sites in employment uses. The NPPF makes clear that the long term protection of sites for employment use when there is no reasonable prospect of a sites being used for that purpose should be avoided (para 22). This application together with the 3 other partner applications result in the closure of the livery business. It is DIY livery and nobody is employed for the care of the horses. The agent has confirmed that the livery is not a viable business; the rent received does not cover the upkeep of the buildings. The proposal would result in the loss of the metal clad building to the north which currently houses a vehicle repair business; the agent has confirmed that this business will be closing in the near future, not as a result of the applications. As the business is being removed it eliminates the potential for disturbance caused by the activities and comings and going from this business.

#### **HIGHWAYS**

4.11 Highways Network Management have requested a number of alterations to the existing driveway. It is not considered that the visibility splay needs to be conditioned, the splay requirement of HNM is currently met and the vehicle access is onto a lay-by rather than a through road. HNM have requested that the access lane be widened at the junction or that a passing place is provided. The comings and goings from the site are not considered to be above that of the existing dwellings and the DIY livery business; as such it is considered that it is not reasonable to request that the road be widened. In addition widening the access road has the potential to impact on the greenbelt. It is considered prudent to condition details of an area adjacent to the access road junction to stand bins on the day of collection.

Page 10 of 16

#### **ECOLOGY**

- 4.12 The large two storey threshing barn (Unit 2 and part of 3 and 1) was found to support a transient Natterer's bat roost and foraging activity by brown long eared bats. The mitigation proposed for this building is the inclusion of a small bat loft within the first floor end of the building with a bat slate installed close to the hip apex of the west facing roof aspect. This can be secured via condition. A European Protected Species Licence is likely to be required to undertake work this can be addressed through a specific condition.
- 4.13 A precautionary method statement has been produced to ensure there is no risk of harm to great crested newts and no inadvertent loss of habitat. A condition ensuring that the proposed works are carried out in accordance with the report is considered prudent.
- 4.14 The conversion of the buildings will result in the complete loss of nesting bird habitat. Mitigation can be sought via a condition.

#### SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE

4.15 The submitted information regarding the current and proposed foul and surface water drainage scheme has a number of errors. The current disposal methods are not acceptable and to comply with current standards a new drainage scheme is required. It is considered that this can be sought via conditions to ensure a suitable drainage scheme, and that they should be applied to all four applications.

#### 5.0 CONCLUSION

5.1 The extensions to Units 8 and 9 are inappropriate development however; this is balanced against the loss of the metal clad agricultural buildings to the north of the north of the main farm complex which is considered to outweigh the potential harm. The proposed development would have very limited impact to the openness, character, and visual amenity of the greenbelt and it is not considered to result in harm. Subject to the submission of satisfactory surface water drainage details approval is recommended subject to the following conditions.

#### **COMMITTEE TO VISIT**

**6.0 RECOMMENDATION:** Approve

- 1 PLANS1 Approved plans
- 2 TIME2 Development start within three years
- 3 VISQ1 Matching materials
  Application Reference Number: 14/02964/FUL Item No: 4e

Page 11 of 16

4 The development hereby permitted shall be implemented strictly in accordance with the details shown in the Great Crested Newt Mitigation Strategy (December 2014) as already submitted in full with the application and agreed with the local planning authority prior to determination.

Reason: To take account of and to enhance the habitat for a protected species. It should be noted that under National Planning Policy Framework the replacement/mitigation proposed should provide a net gain in wildlife value.

5 No removal works to or demolition of buildings or structures that may be used by breeding birds shall take place between 1st March and 31st August inclusive, unless a recent survey has been undertaken by a competent ecologist to assess the nesting bird activity on site during this period, and details of measures to protect the nesting bird interest on the site, have first been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority and then implemented as approved.

Reason: To ensure that breeding birds are protected from harm during construction. All British birds, their nests and eggs (with certain limited exceptions) are protected by law under Section 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended).

No development shall take place, including roof stripping and maintenance work, until a detailed method statement for bats, based on the mitigation measures set out in Section 6.0 Mitigation Strategy for Bats of the Protected Species (Bat) Surveys, BJ Collins, November 2014 and including a monitoring strategy, has been agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. This may form part of a European Protected Species Licence application. The works shall be completed in accordance with the approved details prior to the occupation of the accommodation and shall be retained unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To take account of and to enhance the habitat for a protected species. It should be noted that under National Planning Policy Framework the replacement/mitigation proposed should provide a net gain in wildlife value.

7 No development shall take place until measures addressing the incorporation of nesting habitat on the building for swallows (e.g. nest boxes) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The development shall be constructed in accordance with these approved details.

Reason: To take account of and enhance the biodiversity and wildlife interest of the area, and comply with Section 11 of the NPPF.

8 PD6A Removal of Permitted Development Part 2 rights

Page 12 of 16

9 The boundaries to the north/front garden of Unit 2 and 3; the east gardens of Units 1 and 7; and the south/rear gardens of Units 8 and 9 shall be a hedge. Prior to occupation details of number, species, height and position of trees and shrubs to be used shall be submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This scheme shall be implemented prior to first occupation. Any trees or plants which within a period of five years from the completion of the development die, are removed or become seriously damaged or diseased shall be replaced in the next planting season with others of a similar size and species, unless alternatives are agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The boundaries hedge shall remain in place

Informative: Native hedging is recommended, evergreen species such as Leylandi will not be accepted.

Reason: In the interests of the visual amenities, character and openness of the green belt. So that the Local Planning Authority may be satisfied with the variety, suitability and disposition of species within the site.

- 10 Prior to development, an investigation and risk assessment (in addition to any assessment provided with the planning application) must be undertaken to assess the nature and extent of any land contamination. The investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken by competent persons and a written report of the findings must be produced. The written report is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. The report of the findings must include:
- (i) a survey of the extent, scale and nature of contamination (including ground gases where appropriate);
- (ii) an assessment of the potential risks to:
- human health,
- property (existing or proposed) including buildings, crops, livestock, pets, woodland and service lines and pipes,
- adjoining land,
- groundwaters and surface waters,
- ecological systems,
- archaeological sites and ancient monuments;
- (iii) an appraisal of remedial options, and proposal of the preferred option(s).

This must be conducted in accordance with Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) and the Environment Agency's 'Model Procedures for the Management of Land Contamination, CLR 11'.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried

Page 13 of 16

out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

Prior to development, a detailed remediation scheme to bring the site to a condition suitable for the intended use (by removing unacceptable risks to human health, buildings and other property and the natural and historical environment) must be prepared and is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. The scheme must include all works to be undertaken, proposed remediation objectives and remediation criteria, timetable of works and site management procedures. The scheme must ensure that the site will not qualify as contaminated land under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in relation to the intended use of the land after remediation.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

12 Prior to first occupation or use, the approved remediation scheme must be carried out in accordance with its terms and a verification report that demonstrates the effectiveness of the remediation carried out must be produced and is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems.

In the event that contamination is found at any time when carrying out the approved development that was not previously identified, it must be reported in writing immediately to the Local Planning Authority. An investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken and where remediation is necessary a remediation scheme must be prepared, which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. Following completion of measures identified in the approved remediation scheme a verification report must be prepared, which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

Page 14 of 16

The metal clad detached shed (currently housing a vehicle repair business and stables) and the pole mounted barn sited to the north of the main farm complex (shown on Drawings Numbers BS3504-01 and BS3504-03) shall be removed and the land restored to a field prior to the construction of the development hereby approved.

Reason: To ensure the development does not have a materially greater impact on the greenbelt.

Details of all means of enclosure to the proposed boundaries within the farm/stack yards shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority before the development commences and shall be provided before the development is occupied.

Reason: In the interests of the visual amenities of the area.

- 16 EPU1 Electricity socket for vehicles
- 17 Prior to the occupation of the dwellings hereby approved details of the waste and recycling bin collection point (including surfacing) close to the vehicle junction with Old Moor Lane (the lay-by) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. No bins shall be sited at the collection point except after 19.00 hours the day before collection and on the day of collection. The approved facilities shall be provided prior to the occupation of the dwellings hereby approved and shall be maintained thereafter.

Reason: To prevent the obstruction of the access road and in the interests of the general amenities of the area and the occupants of the development hereby approved.

- 18 PD1A Removal of specific Permitted Development rights A, B, C, D, and E
- 19 Prior to development details of the proposed means of foul and surface water drainage, including details of any balancing works and off site works, shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The works shall be constructed in accordance with the approved details.

If SuDs methods can be proven to be unsuitable then peak run-off from Brownfield developments must be attenuated to 70% of the existing rate (based on 140 l/s/ha of proven connected impermeable areas). Storage volume calculations, using computer modelling, must accommodate a 1:30 year storm with no surface flooding, along with no internal flooding of buildings or surface run-off from the site in a 1:100 year storm. Proposed areas within the model must also include an additional 20% allowance for climate change.

Page 15 of 16

The modelling must use a range of storm durations, with both summer and winter profiles, to find the worst-case volume required.

The permitted surface water discharge rate from the site shall be a maximum of 9.1 l/sec. This rate should be used as the basis of the proposed surface water drainage design.

Reason: So that the Local Planning Authority may be satisfied with these details for the proper and sustainable drainage of the site.

Unless otherwise approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, there shall be no piped discharge of surface water from the development prior to the completion of the approved surface water drainage works and no buildings shall be occupied or brought into use prior to completion of the approved foul drainage works.

Reason: So that the Local Planning Authority may be satisfied that no foul and surface water discharges take place until proper provision has been made for their disposal.

# 7.0 INFORMATIVES: Notes to Applicant

## 1. STATEMENT OF THE COUNCIL'S POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE APPROACH

In considering the application, the Local Planning Authority has implemented the requirements set out within the National Planning Policy Framework (paragraphs 186 and 187) in seeking solutions to problems identified during the processing of the application. The Local Planning Authority took the following steps in order to achieve a positive outcome:

- Request additional information, and revised plans and elevations
- Use of conditions

#### 2. IDB INFORMATIVE

The developer is advised that the Ainsty Internal Drainage Board's prior consent is required for any development including fences or planting within 9.00m of the bank top of any watercourse within or forming the boundary of the site. Any proposal to culvert, bridge, fill in or make a discharge to the watercourse will also require the Board's prior consent.

## **Contact details:**

**Author:** Victoria Bell Development Management Officer

**Tel No**: 01904 551347

Page 16 of 16